CF 1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

SINGLE BENCH

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 03 OF 2007

PETITIONER : Ku. Dipika Verma D/o Late Shri Ajay Kumar Verma, aged about 21 years, Resident of Madhuban Road, Dayalband Bilaspur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 77/8/06
Presented by Shri Ganesh Gupta
dated 22/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Board of Revenue, Bilaspur (C.G.), Through: Its Secretary.

RECEIVED-COPY
21/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

(20)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.3 OF 2007

Petitioner : Ku. Dipika Verma

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and another

Present : Ms. Pushpa Dwivedi, counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondent No.1.

ORDER
(Passed on 15th Day of January, 2007)

The father of the petitioner, namely, Shri Ajay Kumar Verma died while working as Assistant Grade-II in the Board of Revenue, Bilaspur, in harness on 6-3-2006.

2. Thereafter, the petitioner has filed an application for compassionate appointment on 5-6-2006.

3. The application of the petitioner has not been decided by the respondents – authorities.

4. The Government of Chhattisgarh, by its circular dated 2-2-2006, has directed all the departments to consider cases of all the

(21)

eligible dependant legal heir of the deceased employees, who died in harness between 1-11-1997 to 31-10-2000 for compassionate appointment.

5. Accordingly, the Government of Chhattisgarh is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2-2-2006 and also in view of the order passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.3879 of 2004 and other connected matters, on its own merit, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. For the reasons above-stated, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gopal